



NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: gyoung@nlh.nl.ca

2014-03-19

Mr. Geoffrey Young
Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro
P.O. Box 12400
St. John's, NL A1B 4K7

Dear Sirs:

Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - the Board's Investigation and Hearing into Supply Issues and Power Outages on the Island Interconnected System - Requests for Information

Enclosed are Information Requests PUB-NLH-109 to PUB-NLH-131 regarding the above-noted matter. The deadline for filing the responses to the Requests for Information is Wednesday, April 2, 2014.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Board's Legal Counsel, Ms. Jacqui Glynn, via jgylgn@pub.nl.ca or (709) 726-6781.

Yours truly,

Cheryl Blundon
Board Secretary

/bds

ecc. **Newfoundland Power Inc.**
Mr. Gerard Hayes, E-mail: ghayes@newfoundlandpower.com
Ian Kelly, QC, E-mail: ikelly@curtisdawe.com
Consumer Advocate
Mr. Thomas Johnson, E-mail: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca
Ms. Colleen Lacey, E-mail: clacey@odeaearle.ca
Island Industrial Customer Group
Mr. Paul Coxworthy, E-mail: pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com
Mr. Dean Porter, E-mail: dporter@pa-law.ca
Mr. Danny Dumaresque
Mr. Danny Dumaresque, E-mail: danny.liberal@gmail.com

1 **IN THE MATTER OF**
2 *the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994,*
3 *SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the “EPCA”)*
4 *and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL 1990,*
5 *Chapter P-47 (the “Act”), as amended; and*
6
7 **IN THE MATTER** of the Board’s Investigation
8 and Hearing into Supply Issues and Power Outages
9 on the Island Interconnected System.

**PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD
REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

PUB-NLH-109 to PUB-NLH-131

Issued: March 19, 2014


- 1 **PUB-NLH-109** In the response to PUB-NLH-001, footnote one says:
 2
 3 *“(1) When CBPP Interruptible [Corner Brook P & P*
 4 *Interruptible] is used, to determine what the actual Island Peak*
 5 *Load would have been, the amount of interruptible actually used*
 6 *should be added to the Island Peak Load.”*
 7
 8 Does this mean that the last line in Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro’s
 9 response, labeled “*Island Peak Load*,” has the CBPP Interruptible already
 10 added into the data that is given, or does it mean that the interruptible data
 11 has not yet been added but should have been?
 12
- 13 **PUB-NLH-110** Further to PUB-NLH-109 what about the other interruptibles, including
 14 those on the Newfoundland Power system, should their data also be added
 15 to determine what the actual Island Peak Load would have been?
 16
- 17 **PUB-NLH-111** Further to PUB-NLH-109 what about those consumers of both
 18 Newfoundland Power and Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro who have
 19 been presented with voltage reductions, should their load reductions also
 20 be added back in to determine what the actual Island Peak Load would
 21 have been?
 22
- 23 **PUB-NLH-112** Further to PUB-NLH-109 what about those consumers of both
 24 Newfoundland Power and Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro, who are
 25 not being served, should their unserved demand also be added back to
 26 determine what the actual Island Peak Load would have been?
 27
- 28 **PUB-NLH-113** In the data set of historic peak loads that Newfoundland and Labrador
 29 Hydro uses in its load forecasting process, do those peak load data include
 30 added corrections for interruptibles, voltage reductions and unserved
 31 demand to determine what the actual Island Peak Load would have been?
 32
- 33 **PUB-NLH-114** In in the data set of historic energy consumption that Newfoundland and
 34 Labrador Hydro uses in its load forecasting process, does that energy
 35 consumption data include added corrections for interruptibles, voltage
 36 reductions and unserved energy?
 37
- 38 **PUB-NLH-115** Further to PUB-NLH-114 if the data sets for historic peak loads and
 39 energy consumption that Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro uses in its
 40 load forecasting process do not now include added corrections for
 41 interruptibles, voltage reduction and unserved demand and energy, then if
 42 such additions were to be included, would the result be that Newfoundland
 43 and Labrador Hydro’s forecasts of energy consumption and peak loads
 44 increase? If not, why not?
 45
- 46 **PUB-NLH-116** Further to PUB-NLH-115 if the response is that Newfoundland and
 47 Labrador Hydro’s forecasts of energy consumption and peak loads would

- 1 increase, would that mean that Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's
2 process of generation planning would then identify larger needs for
3 generation capacity? If not, why not?
4
- 5 **PUB-NLH-117** Please confirm (or explain to the extent you do not confirm) that the
6 mainland interconnected electric utilities of North America have, by and
7 large, adopted reliability criteria requiring them to plan to have their
8 generation capacity sufficient such that their experience of an event, where
9 their load exceeds their available generation, can be expected to happen no
10 more frequently than once in ten years. (A LOLP of 0.1 or less per year.)
11
- 12 **PUB-NLH-118** Please confirm (or explain to the extent you do not confirm) that prior to
13 1977, Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro had adopted this "*one event in*
14 *ten years*" reliability criterion.
15
- 16 **PUB-NLH-119** Please confirm (or explain to the extent you do not confirm) that in 1977,
17 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro changed its reliability criteria to "*one*
18 *event in five years*".
19
- 20 **PUB-NLH-120** Further to PUB-NLH-119 please confirm (or explain to the extent you do
21 not confirm) that after 1977, Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro noted
22 that, for their system, at the time, with their generation capacity planned to
23 a "*one event in five years*" reliability criterion, then Newfoundland and
24 Labrador Hydro had an expectation of 2.8 hours, per year, of Unserved
25 Load.
26
- 27 **PUB-NLH-121** Further to PUB-NLH-119 and PUB-NLH-120, please confirm (or explain
28 to the extent you do not confirm) that after 1977, Newfoundland and
29 Labrador Hydro adopted, as a generation capacity reliability criterion, the
30 standard of 2.8 (or less) hours of unserved load, per year.
31
- 32 **PUB-NLH-122** Please state whether Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro has established,
33 mathematically, that a standard of 2.8 hours of unserved load, per year,
34 yields the same generation capacity planning as a "*one event in five years*"
35 reliability criterion.
36
- 37 **PUB-NLH-123** Given the state of Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's system, its
38 planned improvements, and its load forecast, please provide
39 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's expected load-generation events per
40 year for 2015, 2016, and 2017.
41
- 42 **PUB-NLH-124** Please provide the expected service outage events per year for the system
43 consisting of Muskrat Falls, the dc line from Labrador and the ac output of
44 the inverter at Soldiers Pond.

- 1 **PUB-NLH-125** Please provide information on the nature and quantity of interruptible
2
3
4 **PUB-NLH-126** Please list how many megawatts of demand Newfoundland and Labrador
5
6
7 **PUB-NLH-127** Please provide data detailing how many times in 2013 Newfoundland and
8
9
10 **PUB-NLH-128** Please describe how Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro exercises its right
11
12
13
14 **PUB-NLH-129** Please provide information on the nature and numbers of Newfoundland
15
16
17
18 **PUB-NLH-130** Please provide information on how many megawatts of demand
19
20
21
22 **PUB-NLH-131** Please provide information showing what is the “*half-life*” of
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland this 19th day of March 2014.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

Per 
Cheryl Blurdon
Board Secretary